

FINAL COPY

Torrance County Board of Commissioners
Special Commission Meeting
September 24, 2018
9:00 AM

Commissioners Present: JULIA DUCHARME – CHAIR
JAVIER SANCHEZ – MEMBER
JAMES FROST – MEMBER

Others Present: BELINDA GARLAND – COUNTY MANAGER
ANNETTE ORTIZ – DEPUTY COUNTY MANAGER
DENNIS WALLIN – COUNTY ATTORNEY
GENELL MORRIS – ADMIN ASSISTANT

Call Meeting to order

Madam Chair DuCharme: Calls the September 24, 2018 Special Commission Meeting to order at 9:05 AM

Pledge lead by Mr. Louie Guenther

Invocation lead by Annette Ortiz

Approval of Meeting Agenda

Commissioner Sanchez: Moves to approve meeting agenda

Commissioner Frost: Seconds the motion

All in favor: **MOTION CARRIED**

Madam Chair DuCharme: Asked if there were any public comments limited to 1 minute per person.

Bill Williams – Resident: This workshop is good to get public commits. I noticed on Wednesday's agenda it shows you are looking to fund the 5-member commission. I think this is illegally, that should be done after the ordinance is passed, and you are trying to fund something that you do not already have the ordinance for. I agree with the ones that feel like we need to wait till the census is done. This allows the next commission to make this decision. Why are we rushing into this? The money can be spent in many other places. The growth is not here right now and the Census will show it. I have contacted some people to come and talk but they could not make it on such short notice. Their names are Leroy Candelaria, Danette Cabber, Ryan Schwebach, Larry Burnett, Mike Tavenner, Jim Belier and Wayne Connell, they all support the three man commission. What is the rush, if you could answer why you are trying to get it done right now I would appreciate it.

Madam Chair DuCharme: Are there any further comments, if you have comments about the 5 member commission you can make them later. There were no other comments.

***ACTION ITEMS**
ITEMS TO BE CONSIDERED AND ACTED UPON

*Commission Matters:

1. 5-Person Commission Re-Districting Work Session

Commissioner Frost: Last week we had a work session and I had to leave after 2 hrs.' because of a previous commitment, I was hoping that you would go forward and finish the agenda but it was adjourned. I have to leave today no later than 11 AM. The workshop was to work on proposed changes to the work that Research and Polling has done with district line changes. I have noticed a campaign to convince people to change to a 5 or stay at 3 person Commission. I believe that a decision needs to be made before we spend more money. We were advised by our attorney the ordinance needs to be designed and approved unanimously to go to 5 Commission Members. I have heard many opinions and demands. Publicly I have never said that I was for 3 or for 5 members. We don't want to waste anyone's time. This process is very costly. In January the new Commission will be in office. I hate to saddle them with the decision that we make, they may have to live with it for up to 8 years. I have been listening to the people about this program and I feel that I have been worked on to convince me how to vote, and those people have convinced me so when the Ordinance is presented to be voted unanimously, I will definitely vote no. Thank you

Michael Godey: When I was running for County Commissioner it was discussed that we should have two counties, one for the Moriarty area and the other county for the rest. Voting no will cause more of a division in the county.

Leanne Tapia – Resident: All the Commission members in the past have thought of this and felt there was no reason to go to a 5 member Commission. If you 3 are doing your job and looking at the whole county and not just your district, which is your job, it is your job to make decisions for the whole county. You need a unanimous vote of 3 and Commissioner Frost states he will be voting no. Any further production on this is wasting time, efforts and tax payer dollars.

Commissioner Sanchez: I am prepared to proceed as planned. We need to take this to the public and get their input. We need to finish it, we have already expended the funds. I think it is premature to vote one way or another at this time. The third step is to take it to the public and that is the most valuable step. As far as I am concerned if we can continue what we have started that makes sense to me.

Madam Chair DuCharme: I agree with Commissioner Sanchez. How would you like to proceed?

Commissioner Sanchez: Mr. Sharp was going to work on a few questions from last time so we could look at the maps again.

Commissioner Frost: I have stated my position, I have no desire to change any minds we have paid good money to do this. This is called jerry meandering, it has been done statewide, countywide and nationwide and is conceived as shifting votes by moving lines, whether it is true or not that is what the people think. I am not in favor of going ahead with it I have said my peace and that is the way it is going to be with me.

Bill Larson – Resident: I live in Commissioner Ducharme's district. I ask all the Commission members to make a public statement in the newspaper or on the radio, as to what is wrong with waiting for the new Commission? That election said something about what the people want. I challenge you to step out boldly even if you buy an ad and tell people what is wrong with waiting. Of the 3 Commissioners one of you was not elected by the majority of voters the first time and was not reelected. It bothers me a lot that it is a push to get this done before a new commission.

Madam Chair DuCharme: It bothers me a lot that you are questioning the legality of my election. Could you please clarify?

Bill Larson – Resident: When you were elected you received more votes than the other candidates but if you take the total votes cast you received less than half. That should have said something to you about being in touch with those other people. I am one of them you have never asked me, what I think or what do my neighbors think. The voters spoke last summer and there is going to be a new commissioner sitting in your place. What is the pressure to get this done so fast?

Madam Chair DuCharme: Mr. Larson in your opinion was I elected legally?

Bill Larson – Resident: Yes, but you are also unelected legally.

Madam Chair DuCharme: I just want to remind everyone that this process was started last year. This Commission started this project and we should not be dependent on the next Commission. We do not know what the next commission plans to do. We started this project and we need to finish it.

Bill Larson – Resident: I agree it needs to be finished, call a vote and put it to bed.

Madam Chair Ducharme: Mr. Larson you do not attend all of our meetings. I do. We have had many people speak in favor of a 5 member Commission. Why should we just stop in the middle of the process?

Bill Larson – Resident: I am very in favor of open expression of opinions, for example I have never said if I was for or against the 5 member Commission. What I am saying is for a Commission that is not going to be in place in less than 90 days, what is the hurry to get this done. If it's what the people want, what will change when the new Commissioners comes in.

Madam Chair DuCharme: Following that logic we should just quit our jobs right now because we were not reelected.

Bill Larson – Resident: You are asking me to decide and I am telling the three of you are paid to decide so let's decide.

Michael Godey – Resident: One of the incoming Commissioners at the last meeting was for having a public hearing. Have a public hearing to hear the people from all three districts and see what they want.

Bill Williams – Resident: Does an Ordinance need a public hearing?

Belinda Garland – County Manager: Yes

Bill Williams – Resident: How are you going to vote on the funding in Wednesday's Commission meeting if you don't have an ordinance in place? You can change a lot of rules on a lot of your procedures but you can't change the rule on the unanimous decision. The public hearing will happen when the ordinance is presented, that is what is required. You are all saying we need a public hearing, well there you go that will be the public hearing.

Commissioner Sanchez: I am confused we started this process a long time ago and we were all interested in the 5 member board. This was started back in February even before candidates filed for election. There is a genuine interest from the public that is why the notice of intent was voted on in March. In March we all voted yes on the notice of intent to move to a 5 member board. We voted to allow Research and Polling to conduct the redistricting. I thought we were all in agreement that it was a good idea. At the same time we heard from candidates saying if there is funding for it then it is a good idea. I took that to understand we were in agreement. We committed to this when we voted unanimously for the notice of intent. To stop the process now would be wrong. I am not sure how to interpret what Commissioner Frost said a while ago, he said he has been worked on and people have talked to him about it and is ready to vote no. That is troubling to me. I know Mr. Williams mentioned a few names, but I do not know if a few names or a few people should control an issue this important. We need to allow the public to give their input, we need to reach out to the public. It is a matter of us doing some work and going out and listening to the public. There is no rush on this we have been working on this since February.

Betty Cabber – Resident District 2: I do not know if I am in favor of 3 or 5 member, but we have spent \$17,000.00 so far. I thought when you first talked about it the least expensive way would have been the due diligence, like having a public hearing. How many of you have held meetings in your district to get their opinion? Have you made calls to people in your district and actually got numbers as to who was for and who was against? We also needed to reach out to other counties that went to a 5 member board and what it cost them, how it worked and if it was a good idea. That was information that did not cost us anything but time. I am ashamed that I did not say anything at the time you voted to spend \$17,000.00.

Bill Williams – Resident: I would like to ask on that vote to move forward you said it was unanimous.

Commissioner Sanchez: The notice of intent was unanimous.

Bill Williams – Resident: Did Mr. Frost vote against it?

Commissioner Sanchez: No he voted for it.

Commissioner Frost: I can answer that I did voted yes to the notice of intent to go forward to research and find out all the information that we need to do on the project. The next vote was to fund Research and Polling doing the redistricting and I voted no on spending the money.

Commissioner Sanchez: There was not a vote about the contract we gave the manager a directive. The minutes stated clearly the Commissioners gave the manager's office directive to enter into the contract. If you felt strongly against it you might have said I do not want to be included in the directive.

Commissioner Frost: I think we would have to listen to the recording to know exactly how that happened, but my intent never was, however that reads, and I was told that it read that I voted against that but I cannot remember.

Bill Williams – Resident: Since this process was started Mr. Frost has never been in favor of the 5 man Commission and you all obviously knew that. Why didn't you already proceed with having the ordinance and the public hearing, it will take you 60 days to get this process going.

Commissioner Frost: I have never been against a public hearing, we should have 2 one at each end of the county.

Madam Chair DuCharme: Commissioner Frost you stated that you are in favor of having public meetings.

Commissioner Frost: Yes I am not opposed to it.

Madam Chair DuCharme: That is what we are trying to achieve, we need to have some information for the public hearing. We are trying to decide on the plan we would like to go with and present it at the hearing. Can you support that?

Commissioner Frost: Can I support a public hearing, I said yes.

Madam Chair DuCharme: Maybe we can proceed and choose a plan.

Commissioner Sanchez: Absolutely that is the first thing people ask, what is the county going to look like. That is why we decided to contract with Research and Polling, how can you have a public hearing without any information? The process is unfolding correctly according to regulations.

Madam Chair DuCharme: We invited Mr. Sharp to the meeting it has already been 1 hour maybe we should proceed.

Commissioner Frost: I would just like to say that we all have our opinions and they all vary. You stated your opinion and I stated mine. I guess none of those are going to change. We have already had two meetings, seen all the maps and studied them. We paid Research and Polling to make a detailed plan, they know what figures to look at and how to make it come out right. I have no desire to change any of the lines that they brought up. I am going to have to leave again, it will be up to you if we go forward or not. You chose not to last week and I thought you should have, and I still think you should. If you would like to adjourn that is fine with me if not I have to leave.

Madam Chair DuCharme: Would you like to present old plans, or would you like to choose 1 plan or 2 and then present them.

Commissioner Frost: I do not know the right answer to that, I have the maps at home and I did not pick one.

Madam Chair DuCharme: Are you willing to do that?

Commissioner Frost: To pick one? I do not see a future in that, I have already stated that the vote will not be unanimous.

Madam Chair DuCharme: What would be the reason to have a public hearing?

Commissioner Frost: I guess because we have two Commissioners that would like a public hearing, I am not against it. I have my thoughts and I have said them and that is the way it is.

Myra Pancrazio – Citizen: Is it in our budget to pay for this in this fiscal year?

County Manager – Belinda Garland: It was not in the FYI/19 budget that was sent and approved by DFA.

Myra Pancrazio – Citizen: To fund this you have to have an ordinance which is 30 days, all of the hard work that you have done is not going to go to waste, and we just turn it over to the new commission. When we go to the public hearing it is not going to go to waste. It is not going to happen this year because Mr. Frost has already said that he is not going to vote for it. So a new Commission would have another chance, is that what you are saying Commissioner Sanchez?

Commissioner Sanchez: I would not presume to say what could or could not happen.

Myra Pancrazio – Citizen: Mr. Frost has already said that he was not going to vote for it, but you can still present all of this information to the new Commission and Commissioner Sanchez aren't you on the new Commission?

Commissioner Frost: That is exactly the way I see it, Commissioner Sanchez will be on the new commission. I heard it mentioned we are only 90 days away from the new Commission, and I am not in favor of saddling the new Commission with the additional funding. I am very much in favor of letting it to go to the new Commission which Commissioner Sanchez is a part of. What would another 90 days hurt?

Michael Godey: Mr. Sharp has already been paid and is here to present plans to the workshop so I think we should listen to him no matter how you vote.

Commissioner Frost: You can either adjourn the meeting or you can continue but I have to leave.

Madame Chair DuCharme: Asks how to proceed.

Commissioner Sanchez: I think it is irresponsible to say whether you are for or against at this time because we have not completed all of the information. I will venture to say it is wrong, we all represent about 5, 000 people and we need to commit to the actions we take. To just get up and leave the table it is very irresponsible whether we are for them or not.

Madame Chair DuCharme: Mr. Sharp please start your presentation.

Mr. Sharp: This is the 4th time we have been before the Commission, once to talk to the Commission, 2nd time to present plans and the 3rd time last week to get input on the plans. Where we left off the last time Ms. DuCharme had talked about a different plan. I have not had time to incorporate all of Sweetwater Hills into District 2. I am in the process of coming up with the plan that meets all of the legal standards. The figures we use are from 2010 there has not been an official census since then, the next census is 2020. The census bureau has produced

estimates and they show the County has lost population, whether or not that includes the closure of the prison I do not know. That is where I am now, I came here to answer any questions and get further input. I am trying to modify D1 but I have not come up with anything that is final, I feel uncomfortable presenting something that is not final. For those that are curious about redistricting, we use 2010 data and when we create districts we make sure that each district is exactly the same in population. We have to make sure minority groups can elect the candidate of their choice. Districts need to be compact and contiguous. The population in 2010 according to the census was 16,383 that includes the prison population. We have 3 districts now which have 5,460 people per district. Looking at a 5 member district and excluding the prison population because we know there is not any population in the prison, we will be looking at 3,150 per district. At the previous meetings I presented plans A through E. Mr. Sharp described the differences between all plans to the public.

Mr. Sharp: Asked if there were any questions about the plans.

Belinda Garland – County Manager: When the census is done will we have to redo this process because of the population count?

Michael Sharp: Yes with one caveat, when the 2010 census was done and the 2011 numbers came in the numbers did not change, so your districts did not have to change because they were still equal in population. This is very rare. It is a slight possibility, and I would not give any odds that after we redistrict to the 5 member districts the numbers will be unchanged after 2021.

Belinda Garland – County Manager: If the Commissioners approve this and we take this to the 2020 election, and if the numbers change we will have to do it again in 2022.

Michael Sharp: Yes in 2021 when the population figures will be released for the 2020 census, and if the numbers show that the districts are no longer equal in population, then the county would have to redistrict again.

Madame Chair DuCharme: You presented plan D1 at the last meeting and I had some concerns, the first concern was it splits Sweetwater Hills. It is a big area for 1 person to represent. Can we look at plan B again and explain why this plan was not considered.

Michael Sharp: We really did not get any negative or positive on any plans but plan D. I showed all the plans and we really did not talk about the negatives or positives of the other plans.

Madame Chair DuCharme: Do you see any negatives with this plan?

Michael Sharp: Let me try to explain it this way, if you consider having Moriarty split a negative then it is a negative, if you consider Willard, Mountainair, Manzano and Tajique area all in one area as a positive then it is a positive. It really comes down to what you feel are the positives with respect to the communities of interest. I presented you with different plans,

Moriarty is split here and in the other plans it is not. I tried to give you options. You have to figure out on balance what the positives and negatives are.

Further discussion on plans A through E were discussed.

Leanne Tapia – Resident: I want to state that the municipalities take care of themselves, they have Mayors. They vote for Commissioners but the municipality is taken care of by the Mayors. Also I want it on the record that it was stated today there has been a unanimous vote to continue this project but it hasn't be unanimous. Commissioner Frost voted in April to move forward and explore a 5 member Commission but voted against spending the money. Commissioner Frost stated that he will not vote for a 5 Commission board and he did not want to fund it. Because you need a unanimous vote all of this time and effort is void. It is not going to pass before January because he stated he will not vote to approve, so it needs to go to the next Commission.

Commissioner Sanchez: There has never been a time line.

Leanne Tapia – Resident: You are making decisions on districts when you have two new Commissioners coming in January. You should bring it back in January and let those Commissioners see all of this and make the decisions.

Commissioner Sanchez: For the record there is no time line on this process. No one is saying we have to vote on it before January. I have said no such thing and I do not believe anybody has said that.

Madam Chair DuCharme: I want to add that this current Commission has all rights, and authority to have public hearings and vote on this issue.

Commissioner Sanchez: So the problem with plan D is the size of district 5 and the lack of unity in the Sweetwater Hills community. If we look at plan B the issue I have is the dividing line in Moriarty, I would like to see it in one district. The dividing lines in Estancia in one district. The Tajique Land Grant is also divided those are my issues. I think communities should be unified, to me it is very odd that neighbors could have different representatives. I am looking at the fabric of the communities, the people that live in the communities, I am trying to group them together. The size of the district is not as relative as to these other considerations. You cannot avoid having a large district and the size is not going to be balanced. In plan B there is a lot of merit to the boundaries between 5, 1 and 2. If you could unify Sweetwater Hills and try to include the boundaries in 5, 1 and 2 from plan B into plan D.

Michael Sharp: To clarify you are looking at taking district 1, 4, and 5 from plan B, and integrate that with districts 2 and 3 from plan D1?

Madam Chair DuCharme: Mr. Sharp can you look at both plans, plan B and plan D1 and see what you can do to modify them. On plan B I like how district 5 looks I like that not one district is very big, where 2 and 3 balance it out. Commissioner Sanchez has his concerns with plan B.

Commissioner Sanchez: Yes my concerns are the unification of communities. I think the problems I have with plan B will make it almost impossible to work because of the dividing line in Moriarty, there is just so many people. If you start from the prospective of let's unify the communities, like district 4, it falls into place naturally, it has the right amount of people. But if you want to unify Moriarty and the other municipalities, I do not think there is many more combinations other than plan B. But there might be slight variations such as unifying another communities, I have looked at the numbers it is nearly impossible to unify Moriarty without falling into a similar pattern as in D. To me unification of communities is critical it is a deal breaker for me.

Madam Chair DuCharme: We are talking about very different communities and they vary in size. Tajique and Torreon are much smaller communities than Moriarty, it makes sense that Moriarty would be represented by two commissioners just because of the size of the community.

Commissioner Sanchez: If we start looking at population as a determinant of how resources are allocated then perhaps there is no need to redistrict or to move to 5. If population is the sole factor in what we use in order to allocate resources then I would say status quo is just fine. I do not believe that, I believe a 5 member board will change the dynamics enough to make it more balanced. If we keep the preservation of the boundaries as we see it in plan B there will be no need to change. In the history of the county we all know that there has always been the perception in the southern parts of the county that their interest have not been represented as well and the northern interest. I see both sides of course there is more population in the north and more development in the north. It is not unfair to say that resources should be allocated to the north. I think the dynamics of the 3 board systems have not served our county in the past. It becomes very political and it is very easy for two commissioners to align themselves together, the third commissioner does not have a voice. It is very hard not to fall into that. With the 3 member board there are constituency that are losers. When the municipalities are unified then the political dynamics change. If we leave Moriarty segregated the vestige of the 3 member board will linger, a piece of the systems that we are trying to revitalize will be left intact. There is so much fighting in a 3 member board, a 5 member board will always be better. I have given this great thought and the unification of the communities will work to redefine a system that is more inclusive, less bias, and less chance of anyone's influence. That is why it is a deal breaker if we leave the communities divided.

Madam Chair DuCharme: Thank for explaining this so candidly, eloquently, honestly and directly, I see this plan in a new way. We are talking about not dividing communities so let's see how the community of Sweetwater Hills can be included in this plan and not divided. If it is included in district 5 can the division line be highway 41, Mr. Sharp?

Michael Sharp: So you want me to look at moving Sweetwater Hills Boundaries to Highway 41 and keep all the municipalities together. Options are moving Sweetwater Hills into District 1 or 5. I will have to look at that.

Commissioner Sanchez: How do we review and when will it be ready?

Michael Sharp: Your next meeting is Wednesday and I am not sure I can get them ready by then. I will work on them this week but I am not sure I can get them both by Wednesday. If you have another workshop in the next week or the week after, just as long as it is a week from today I should be able to have something to you, I just can't do it by Wednesday.

Madam Chair DuCharme: At the Wednesday's Commission meeting we will give an update and schedule another workshop.

Belinda Garland – County Manager: Reminds the Commissioners that every time you change the contract and plans it adds to the cost.

Michael Sharp: Any revisions are included in the cost, there is an extra cost depending on the number of meetings I attend. I think the contract has 4 meetings, anything over that would be an additional cost.

Commissioner Sanchez: If we were able to present an idea to the public, I am good with that. Mr. Sharp wouldn't need to attend so many workshops.

Madam Chair DuCharme: I am not ready to endorse a plan to show to the public. I need to see the modifications.

Commissioner Sanchez: Annette Ortiz and I worked on an informational flyer/brochure showing the benefits of a 5 member commission. She put my name on it but I would like all our names on it, or if all three of us do not want to be on it, it should be the county offices. I do not want there to be an assumption that one Commissioner is for it, it ought to be all of or just the manager's office. I feel this is a good tool to pass out. The idea is to have something to give to people who want to know more about a 5 member board.

Annette Ortiz – Deputy Manager: The idea was to make a postcard but there was too much information. We wanted to make sure the message being sent was a solid message. If you are going to go with the full page, double sided, it will be cost effective to have them printed out of the county offices. Education is something you want to get out to your constituents.

Commissioner Sanchez: Since Jim has come out against this, I do not know what kind of letterhead we can put on it. I do not know if it is correct to have the County on this because our employees should not be political, this is an issue that can be politicized. For it to come from the County as an official correspondence, it would be a misconception because Jim is against it.

Therefore should this be something that comes from a personal level or should the County Offices be involved?

Madam Chair DuCharme: This is a great idea and a needed idea. It can be on the County's website and the Facebook page.

Annette Ortiz: Jim opened his comments today by saying there was a campaign in progress to get the word out. If this does come from the County and Jim comes with an opposite flyer, the county will have to print that also, or do the same for him as we have done for you.

Commissioner Sanchez: I see where Annette is coming from, the employees should not have anything to do with Elections or influencing certain policy. I feel this might be incorrect to support a policy that is going to become a political issue.

Michael Godey: You are really getting into promoting things from a particular point of view. As individual Commissioner's you can create the flyer and distribute it but you need to take this completely off the County ledger. You could be slammed with lawsuits.

Commissioner Sanchez: I am questioning the entire process. I would be willing and think it is more proper to say I will pay for the color copies and make them on my own time. The policy is something that I am supporting but maybe Jim is not, so therefore how can the County support it. This could go from a standard policy issue to a political issue. If we think it is a good idea it should be up to us.

Belinda Garland – County Manager: I think you are right and you could be walking on thin ice. I advise you to be cautious.

Madam Chair DuCharme: I do not understand that point of view. We are conducting public business, public money is spent on this research. Why should it be a personal or a political issue? I do not see this as a political issue, it should come from the County and not us personally. We are here on the County's time and public money is being spent.

Belinda Garland – County Manager: I could ask our legal counsel for an opinion.

Madam Chair DuCharme: No, it was not done for Commissioner Sanchez, it was his idea but it is done for the Commission. We have to pay for a legal opinion.

Belinda Garland – County Manager: It might save you from a lawsuit.

Madam Chair DuCharme: A lawsuit based on what?

Belinda Garland – County Manager: In the past you have asked Mr. Wallin to give legal advice on matters that would have kept us out of a lawsuit. It might be an opportunity to follow the

guidance you have given us in the past. Get legal guidance whether or not this is in violation. This could be misconstrued as political, but I do not know for sure.

Michael Godey: I agree, what you might do to step back from the line is remove pros and cons and keep it neutral. I would suggest legal counsel.

Madam Chair DuCharme: I think it should be the decision of the Commission.

Commissioner Sanchez: The Commission gives directive to the manager's office, and I can see your point of view. I feel like we need to be as fair as possible, there are people that are against this issue and I think their point of view should be respected. I do not know if it would be correct to have the county pay for this, or put it on the website.

Madam Chair DuCharme: We need to put it on the agenda and vote on it.

Commissioner Sanchez: Once Mr. Sharp comes up with the drafts, we can call a special meeting/workshop to discuss the maps and the flyer.

*Adjourn

Commissioner Sanchez: Motion to adjourn Special Commission Meeting.

Madam Chair DuCharme: Seconds the motion

All in favor: MOTION CARRIED

Meeting adjourned at 12:52 PM

Julia Du Charme
JULIA DUCHARME – CHAIR

October 10, 2018

Date

Linda Kayser
LINDA KAYSER
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

The video of this meeting can be viewed in its entirety on the Torrance County NM website. Audio discs of this meeting can be purchased in the Torrance County Clerk's Office and the audio of this meeting will be aired on our local radio station KXNM